



---

**NOTICE OF MEETING OF THE  
RESOURCE MANAGEMENT & REGULATORY  
COMMITTEE**

---

I hereby give notice that the Resource Management & Regulatory Committee meeting of the Papakura District Council is to be held on:

**DATE:**            Tuesday                    14<sup>th</sup> September 2010

**TIME:**            1.00 pm

**VENUE:**            Council Chambers  
35 Coles Crescent  
PAPAKURA

T Stratton  
**CHIEF EXECUTIVE OFFICER**

**MEMBERSHIP:**

Chairperson	Clr Conroy
Deputy Chairperson	Clr Jones
	HWM Penrose
	Clr Auva'a
	Clr Catchpole
	Clr Goldsmith
	Clr O'Connor
	Clr Piggott
	Clr Pringle

*(Quorum 4 members)*

*(The reports and recommendations contained in this Order Paper are not necessarily Council Policy and should not be taken as Council Policy, or opinion)*

## **PAPAKURA DISTRICT COUNCIL**

### **CONTENTS**

---

<b>NO.</b>	<b>ITEM</b>	<b>PAGE</b>
1.	<b>Apologies .....</b>	<b>3</b>
2.	<b>Confirmation of Minutes .....</b>	<b>3</b>
3.	<b>Deputation and Petitions .....</b>	<b>3</b>
4.	<b>Plan Changes.....</b>	<b>4-8</b>
	(a) Status Schedule of Proposed District Plan Changes - Summary .....	4
	(b) Withdrawal of Part of PC13 Rural Plan Change – Superseded By PPC4 and PC11 .....	5-6
	(c) PC12: - Appeal Process Update Report and Operative Date .....	7-8
5.	<b>Other Planning Matters .....</b>	<b>9</b>
6.	<b>Regulatory and Enforcement .....</b>	<b>10-17</b>
	(a) Dog Control Policy and Practices – 2009/10.....	10-13
	(b) Regulatory Services Report for July 2010.....	14-17
7.	<b>Confidential.....</b>	<b>18</b>

**PAPAKURA DISTRICT COUNCIL**

**AGENDA FOR THE MEETING OF THE RESOURCE MANAGEMENT AND  
REGULATORY COMMITTEE TO BE HELD IN THE COUNCIL CHAMBERS,  
35 COLES CRESCENT, PAPAKURA ON TUESDAY 14<sup>TH</sup> SEPTEMBER 2010  
COMMENCING AT 1.00 P.M.**

---

**1. APOLOGIES**

**2. CONFIRMATION OF MINUTES**

- (a) That the Minutes of the Resource Management and Regulatory Committee Meeting held on Tuesday 10<sup>th</sup> August 2010 be confirmed.

**3. DEPUTATIONS AND PETITIONS**

**4. PLAN CHANGES**

**(a) STATUS SCHEDULE OF PROPOSED DISTRICT PLAN CHANGES - SUMMARY**

**REPORTING OFFICER:** Nathanael Savage  
Senior Policy Planner

**ATTACHMENT:** Plan Change Schedule as of September 2010  
**(Attachment No. 1)**

---

**PURPOSE OF THE REPORT**

The purpose of the report is to update the Council on the status of all proposed public and private District Plan Changes.

**BACKGROUND**

The Papakura District Council has been progressing a number of Plan Changes to the Operative Papakura District Plan. Such plan changes include both public and private changes.

**NARRATIVE**

The attached schedule of proposed public and private District Plan Changes is provided for information purposes.

---

**RECOMMENDATIONS**

1. That the information be received.

**4. PLAN CHANGES**

(b) **WITHDRAWAL OF PART OF PC13 RURAL PLAN CHANGE – SUPERSEDED BY PPC4 AND PC11**

**REPORTING OFFICER: Nathanael Savage  
Senior Policy Planner**

---

**PURPOSE OF THE REPORT**

The purpose of this report is to seek the withdrawal of that part of Plan Change No.13: Rural Plan Change (PC13) that has been superseded by Private Plan Change No.4 (PPC4) and Plan Change No.11 (PC11) – Cosgrave, Kirikiri and Dominion Road Structure Plan / Takanini Structure Plan Areas 2A, part 2B and 2C.

**BACKGROUND**

PC13 was notified on 7 November 2007 and decisions released on 5 August 2009. Among other matters it proposed the rezoning of Takanini Structure Plan Areas 2A, part 2B and 2C from the current rural zones to a Future Urban Zone (FUZ). In effect the FUZ is a holding zone intended to limit subdivision and activities that could compromise future development to be provided for through a future plan change rezoning the land to an urban zoning. Appeals currently exist in relation to the FUZ, however the matter has not proceeded to an Environment Court hearing.

PPC4 and PC11 were notified on 17 October 2007 and decisions released on 15 July 2009. These changes proposed the rezoning of Takanini Structure Plan Areas 2A, part 2B and 2C to urban residential zones. All appeals have been resolved and the plan changes will be made operative in accordance with Council's directions (13 July 2010 Resource Management and Regulatory Committee minutes).

**NARRATIVE**

As PPC4 and PC11 have been completed it is necessary to seek the withdrawal of that part of PC13 that was seeking to protect the geographic areas (via the FUZ) covered by PPC4 and PC11. Not withdrawing PC13 in part as suggested would create an anomaly and lead to difficulties in administering the District Plan (e.g. resource consent processing).

Clause 8D of the First Schedule of the Resource Management Act 1991 (RMA) provides the authority for Council to withdraw plan changes (or parts of changes). Various restrictions to this authority exist but none of these apply in this case.

No party to PC13 is likely to be unduly prejudiced by the withdrawal given evidence has not yet been prepared on the PC13 FUZ issue and it is unlikely that the parties will oppose the withdrawal or attempt to seek costs. The FUZ appeal issues are generic, allowing withdrawal of an area from the FUZ without complicating / affecting the substantive part of those appeal issues.

Public notification of a decision to withdraw part of a plan change is required.

**CONCLUSION**

Part of PC13 needs to be withdrawn as a result of the completion of PPC4 and PC11. Not withdrawing the FUZ as it applies to the geographic areas covered by PPC4 and PC11 will lead to difficulties in administering the District Plan.

For this situation Clause 8D of the RMA provides Council with the authority to make this withdrawal.

---

## **RECOMMENDATIONS**

1. That the information be received.
2. That Council, pursuant to clause 8D of the First Schedule to the Resource Management Act 1991, withdraws the following provisions of Proposed Plan Change No. 13 – the Rural Plan Change (PC13) to the Operative Papakura District Plan, for the reasons outlined below:

**Withdraw:**

The Future Urban rezoning of Takanini Structure Plan Areas 2A, part 2B and 2C as defined by Private Plan Change No.4 (PPC4) and Plan Change No.11 (PC11).

**Reasons:**

- a. PPC4 and PC11 has rezoned these areas to appropriate urban zones thereby superseding the proposed Future Urban zone in PC13.
- b. These areas now fall within the urban section of the District Plan as a result of PPC4 and PC11.
- c. These parts of PC13 must be withdrawn to avoid potential District Plan administration difficulties with regard to resource consent processing.

#### **4. PLAN CHANGES**

**(c) PC12: - APPEAL PROCESS UPDATE  
REPORT AND OPERATIVE DATE**

**REPORTING OFFICER: Bain Cross  
Policy Planner – Strategic**

---

#### **PURPOSE OF THE REPORT**

The purpose of this report is:

- i) To update Council on appeals in relation to Council Plan Change 12 (PC12, Takanini).
- ii) To determine an operative date for PC12.

#### **BACKGROUND**

PC12 was introduced to rezone Takanini Structure Plan Area 1a and parts of 1b from Rural to Residential 8, to amend the Residential 8 zoning provisions, to amend the Glenora Structure Plan to alter the road layout, and to introduce a new commercial and community centre for the area. It also rezoned the Southgate Centre and surrounding land to Commercial 2. Following the release of decisions appeals were lodged by Retail Holdings Ltd. & Southgate Centre Ltd., Ray Wallace Ltd., and Addison Developments Ltd. Two consent orders resolved part of the Retail Holdings Ltd. & Southgate Centre Ltd. appeal. The remaining matters were heard by the Environment Court on the 12<sup>th</sup> to the 15<sup>th</sup> of July, 2010.

At the time of writing this report, the Environment Court had not released a decision. Once the Environment Court decision has been released, there is a further 15 working day period in which parties to the Environment Court proceeding can appeal to the High Court, on a point of law only. Once the time for lodging such an appeal has passed (without a further appeal being lodged), Council will be in a position to carry out any amendments directed by the Environment Court, and make PC12 operative. These amendments will include those made by the consent orders already signed by the Environment Court.

Clause 20 of the First Schedule to the Resource Management Act 1991 (RMA) requires Council to set a date on which PC12 formally becomes Operative. This date must be publicly notified (no less than 5 working days before the operative date). As the release of the Environment Court decision on the remaining appeals is imminent, it is now appropriate to propose an operative date.

If the Environment Court directs that a variation or further change be initiated, Council will be required to follow that direction. Those parts of PC12 affected by such a direction will not be able to be made operative.

#### **NARRATIVE**

##### **Setting an Operative Date**

The process for making plan changes operative is set out in the First Schedule to the RMA. Once the Environment Court decision has been released, and the time for lodging an appeal to the High Court has expired without an appeal having been lodged, PC12 can be made operative on a date set by Council.

Council must publicly notify the operative date of any plan changes at least five working days prior to that date (Clause 20(2)).

Below is a schedule that Council is being asked to endorse that would provide flexibility in setting an operative date for PC12. In the event that the Environment Court requires Council to initiate a variation to PC12 or further change to the Operative District Plan, then the matter would be reported to the new Auckland Council.

<b>Public Notice</b>	<b>Operative Date</b>
Wednesday, 29 September 2010	Monday, 11 October 2010
Wednesday, 13 October 2010	Monday, 25 October 2010
Wednesday, 27 October 2010	Monday, 8 November 2010
Whichever is the earliest, subject to the operative date being set under delegation following receipt of the decision of the Environment Court and incorporating the sealed consent orders issued by the Environment Court.	

### **CONCLUSION**

The hearing of the three remaining appeals against Council decisions on submissions to PC12 were heard in July. The Environment Court is expected to release its decision shortly (if it has not done so prior to this meeting). Unless a party to the appeals lodges a further appeal to the High Court on a point of law, the process for PC12 will be complete, and it can formally be made operative.

It is therefore appropriate, given the imminent amalgamation of local government in Auckland, to set potential operative dates now.

A schedule of potential dates for making PC12 operative has been presented for the approval of Council.

---

### **RECOMMENDATIONS**

1. That the information be received.
2. That pursuant to Clause 17 of the First Schedule to the Resource Management Act 1991, Council approves Plan Change 12 as amended by the two signed consent orders in relation to the appeal by Retail Holdings Ltd. and Southgate Centre Ltd. and as amended by the decision of the Environment Court in relation to the appeals by Retail Holdings Ltd. and Southgate Centre Ltd., Ray Wallace Ltd., and Addison Developments Ltd., and affixes the seal of the Council thereto.
3. That, pursuant to Clause 20 of the First Schedule to the Resource Management Act 1991, Council declares that Plan Change 12 shall become operative on a date set in accordance with the schedule below, and the Operative District Plan be amended accordingly.

<b>Public Notice</b>	<b>Operative Date</b>
Wednesday, 29 September 2010	Monday, 11 October 2010
Wednesday, 13 October 2010	Monday, 25 October 2010
Wednesday, 27 October 2010	Monday, 8 November 2010
Whichever is the earliest, subject to the operative date being the same as, or following the operative date set by the ARC for RPS11, RPS12, and Var2, and after receipt of sealed copies of all Consent Orders on PPC4 PC11 from the Environment Court.	

**5. OTHER PLANNING MATTERS**

**6. REGULATORY AND ENFORCEMENT**

**(a) DOG CONTROL POLICY AND PRACTICES – 2009/10**

**REPORTING OFFICER:** Graeme McCarrison  
Director Regulatory Services

**ATTACHMENTS:** Papakura Dog Bylaw & Policy 2009  
2009/10 Schedule of Fees and Charges  
  
(Attachment No. 2)

---

**PURPOSE OF THE REPORT**

The purpose of this report is to provide the annual dog control report for the 2009/10 financial year to Council.

**BACKGROUND**

Under Section 10A of the Dog Control Act 1996, local authorities are required to annually and publicly report on the administration of their dog control practices. This report includes the relevant dog control statistics for the financial year.

In addition to the statutory requirement to prepare a dog control report, the Council must also give the public notice of the report by means of a notice in papers available locally. A copy of the report will also be placed on the Council website.

Within one month of adopting the report, Council must forward a copy to the Secretary for Local Government.

In respect of each financial year, the annual report must include information relating to:

- (a) the number of registered dogs in Papakura District.
- (b) the number of probationary owners and disqualified owners in Papakura District.
- (c) the number of dogs in Papakura District classified as dangerous under Section 31 and the relevant provisions under which the classification is made.
- (d) the number of dogs in Papakura District classified as menacing under Section 33 and the relevant provisions under which the classification is made.
- (e) the number of infringement notices issued by Papakura District.
- (f) the number of dog related complaints received by Papakura District Council and the nature of those complaints.
- (g) the number of prosecutions taken by Papakura District Council under this Act.

**NARRATIVE**

The Papakura District Council Dog Control Policy and Bylaw September 2004 was reviewed and subject to public consultation in 2009. On 24 November 2009, Council adopted the Papakura District Council Dog Control Policy and Bylaw 2009 which became effective from 25 November 2009.

### **Papakura District Council's Dog Control Practices**

Papakura District Council uses a computer database to store information on each registered dog. Each dog has an individual reference number. Incidents involving the dog are recorded and linked to the dog and its owner. The dog's age, sex, breed, colour and neutering status are all recorded as well as whether the dog has been classified as "menacing" or "dangerous". In the 2009/2010 year there were 3707 dogs registered in the Papakura District.

Papakura District Council contracts dog control to Animal Control Services Ltd. Animal Control Services Ltd (ACSL) provides a 24 hour service. Their role includes registration compliance, enforcing the Dog Control Act 1996 and Impounding Act 1955 (in relation to stock wandering) and providing an education service.

Papakura District Council has applied the relevant dog control policies when administering its practices for the control of dogs.

From 1 November 2010 Papakura District Council becomes part of the Auckland Council. The existing level of service will continue as the ACSL contract has been extended to 30 June 2011.

### **Dog Control Enforcement**

In the 2009/2010 year, there were 1,939 requests for service received and actioned by ACSL. This represents a 1.4% increase in requests for service compared to last year.

Of those 1,939 requests for service, 86 were for aggression related offences such as dogs biting or challenging people and dogs attacking other animals. This represents a decrease of 35% over the previous year when there were 133.

Infringement notices are issued to dog owners who fail to comply with the Dog Control Act 1996 and the Papakura District Council bylaws. In 2009/2010 there were 617 infringement notices issued. This is a decrease of 32% over last year when 912 infringement notices were issued. 251 of the infringement notices were for "failing to register" dogs compared to 406 last year and 254 were for "failing to control" dogs compared to 397 last year. This accounted for 40.3% of all infringement notices issued. There were 63 infringement notices for "failing to confine" dogs, which is 50% more than last year.

There were no prosecutions in the District Court in the 2009/2010 year for breaches of the Dog Control Act 1996.

### **Dogs Prohibited, Leash Only and Dog Exercise Areas**

The Papakura District Council 2004 Bylaw provided three schedules of areas in the bylaws for dogs. The first is prohibited areas, the second is leashed areas and there were 11 designated "off-lead" areas in the Papakura District. All of these areas are patrolled regularly by the Animal Control Officers. Under the Papakura District Council 2009 Bylaw there are now 10 designated "off-lead" areas in the Papakura District.

### **Dog Registration and Other Fees**

Fees and charges are reviewed annually and are set by Council resolution. The public are provided the opportunity to submit on and be heard annually in regard to the fees as part of the either Long Term Council Community Plan LTCCP or the Annual Plan.

The funding sources set out in the funding policy for providing this service is via the fees and charges with the remainder funded from general rates. The following table show the funding split between funding from fees, fines and rates.

	<b>ACTUAL 2009/2010</b>	<b>ACTUAL 2008/2009</b>
<b>FEES</b>	<b>39%</b>	<b>41%</b>
<b>FINES</b>	<b>18%</b>	<b>18%</b>
<b>RATES</b>	<b>43%</b>	<b>41%</b>

Discounts are given to owners who demonstrate responsible ownership, ie those that obtain a dog owner licence. The registration and impounding fees are shown in Attachment B.

Dog owners that fail to register their dog on the due date are visited by Animal Control Officers. Registration is requested and if they fail to register their dog, they will receive an infringement notice and their dog may be impounded.

### **Dog Owner Education**

Dog owners pay a reduced registration fee if they obtain a dog owner licence. In the 2009/2010 year, ACSL provided 33 dog owner licence sessions which is increase from last year when 28 classes were held. There were 247 new dog owner licences issued.

Papakura District Council provides dog owners with information through the Papakura District Council website, pamphlets, brochures, posters and in daily dealings with dog owners and the public.

This year's education theme was "Starting right with your dog". ACSL printed the comprehensive booklet to support the education program.

ACSL Animal Control Officers attended community events, held school sessions and provided educational displays.

### **Dog Permits**

Under Papakura District Council bylaws, owners with more than two dogs must obtain a permit. During the year 14 dog owners were granted a multi-dog permit.

### **Dog Impounding**

The Dog Control Amendment Act 2003 gives dog control officers the right to enter private property to seize dogs for specific offences. Impounded dogs are held at the shared Manukau City-Papakura District shelter for seven days during which time shelter staff makes every effort to locate and contact the owner to claim their animal.

Impounded dogs are not released until the registration and all other outstanding fees have been paid. The owner is liable for all fees payable including registration, an impounding fee of between \$60.00 and \$235.00 depending on whether it is the first time the animal has been impounded, and a daily sustenance fee of \$17.00 for each day the dog is held at the shelter. Dogs not claimed after seven days, that are unsuitable for adoption, are euthanased. If a dog is suitable for adoption, various agencies are contacted about placing the dog in a new home.

In the 2009/2010 year, 573 dogs were impounded, a reduction from last year when 694 dogs were impounded, of 121 or 17%. Of the 573 impounded, 258 were unclaimed and euthanased, which is 45% of impounded dogs. A total of 39 were

adopted into new homes. 9 dogs were referred to the SPCA for adoption, down from 16 last year.

Category	As at 30 June 2010	For Period 01 July 2009 - 30 June 2010
1) Total # Registered Dogs	3,707	~ Not Required ~
2) Total # Probationary Owners	0	0
3) Total # Disqualified Owners	0	0
4) Total # Dangerous Dogs	0	0
➤ Dangerous by Owner Conviction Under s31(1)(a)	0	0
➤ Dangerous by Sworn Evidence s31(1)(b)	0	0
➤ Dangerous by Owner Admittance in Writing s31(1)(c)	0	0
5) Total # Menacing Dogs	0	
➤ Menacing under s33A(1)(b)(i) - i.e. by Behaviour	0	10
➤ Menacing under s33A(1)(b)(ii)- by Breed Characteristics	0	7
➤ Menacing under s33C(1) by Schedule 4 Breed.	0	15
6) Total # Infringement Notices.	~ Not Required ~	617
7) Total # Complaints Received	~ Not Required ~	1,939
➤ TA Complaint Category 1	~ Not Required ~	Not Applicable
➤ TA Complaint Category 2...		
8) Total # Prosecutions Taken	~ Not Required ~	0

## CONCLUSION

Council is required under section 10A Dog Control Act 1996 to prepare and adopt an annual report on the dog control services. This report sets out what Council has achieved in regard to dog control during the financial year 2009/2010 and is presented for adoption by Council. From 1 November 2010 Papakura District Council becomes part of the Auckland Council. The existing level of service will continue as the ACSL contract has been extended to 30 June 2011.

## RECOMMENDATIONS

1. That the information be received.
2. That Council adopt the 2009/2010 Report on Dog Control Policy and Practices.

**6. REGULATORY AND ENFORCEMENT**

**(b) REGULATORY SERVICES REPORT FOR JULY 2010**

**REPORTING OFFICER:** Graeme McCarrison  
Director Regulatory Services

**ATTACHMENT:** Statistical Data  
Food Grading List of Premises  
  
(Attachment No. 3)

---

**PURPOSE OF THE REPORT**

The purpose of this report is to provide the Committee with information on Regulatory Services operations.

**BACKGROUND**

The Regulatory Services operation has seven primary functions being Building Consents, Resource Consents, Environmental Health Inspections, Liquor Licensing, Animal Control, Noise Control and Parking Control and each is reported on separately within this report. Detailed information is provided on each functional area in the attachment to this report. The first section of the attachment provides comparative data for Building, Environmental Health and Liquor.

The second section relates to Resource Consents and is divided into four key parts related to the number of applications received and processed, monitoring and compliance, significant on-going matters and applications and finally matters before the Environment Court.

The third, fourth and fifth sections concern Animal Control, Noise Control and Parking Control respectively.

**NARRATIVE**

**Building Consents July 2010**

The key building performance statistics for the month are as follows:

- For the month of July 2010 there were 58 consents issued and the average number of days to issue these consents was 14.4 days. There were 6 amendments issued during the month. All consents were issued within 20 working days. In July in the previous year 69 consents were issued with 100% of these being processed within 20 working days. The average number of days to process the consents in the previous year was 11.3 days.
- The total value of consents issued in July was \$5.4 million which compares to \$8.6 million in the same month in the previous year.
- 71 consent applications were received in July 2010 compared to the 66 received in the same month in the previous year.
- There were 38 Land Information Memoranda issued in July compared to 41 in the same month last year.

## **Swimming Pools**

In July 2010 a total of 47 properties were visited to check swimming pool fencing compliance and 12 failed the initial inspection. The failed inspections generally related to maintenance items such as repairs to gates, fences and window restrictors.

## **Environmental Health**

### Details of Current Food Grades

Grading inspections for all of the Food Premises have been completed. One premise has received a D grading due to staff being required to complete a basic refresher course on food hygiene. Currently the staff are undertaking the appropriate training courses. The owner will be able to apply for a re-inspection and gain a new grading. There are currently 8 premises that have opted to register a Food Control Plan. These premises are currently at various stages of having audits for compliance carried out. All gradings can be viewed on the Papakura District Council website and are attached to this report.

### Enforcement Issues

During the month of July 2010, 42 complaints were received. These complaints related to various issues including food premises, general nuisance from rubbish and overgrown sections.

## **Liquor Licensing**

During the month of July 2010 there were a total of 24 applications received which were made up of the following:

1.	General Manager's Certificate	2
2.	Renewal General Manager's Certificate	5
3.	Renewal Club Manager	2
4.	Special Licence	15
		24

Takanini Liquor Bargain was required to close for 2 days by the Police and Liquor Licensing Inspector in relation to the sale of liquor to a minor. Murphy's Law had their application for extension of hours declined by the Liquor Licensing Authority.

## **Resource Consents**

In July, 38 resource consents were processed and 46 were received. The average number of days to process these consents was 15.8 days. All consents issued were processed within the statutory timeframes.

### **Complaints and Resource Consent Monitoring:**

During July 2010, 26 complaints were received and 20 of these complaints have been resolved successfully with the remaining 6 complaints still currently under investigation. Currently there are 55 on-going investigations with the oldest dating back to July 2007 and only 7 of these cases are more than 10 months old.

38 new resource consents became due for monitoring checks and 24 were signed off as completed during the month. A total of 168 resource consent monitoring visits occurred in July 2010.

**Resource Consent Matters:**

201 and 211 Opaheke Road

Stages one and two of the six stage management plan set out to ensure removal of all scrap metal, vehicle wrecks, shipping containers and all other materials stored contrary to the Papakura District Plan 1999 have been successfully completed, and the owners are currently working towards compliance for stage three. Further monitoring site visits have been arranged to ensure compliance.

**Significant Resource Consent Applications:**

Motocross, Ardmore Quarry Road

The Environment Court mediation was held on 9 August 2010. Agreement on the main issues (and in particular on noise and frequency of use) was not reached. A Hearing date is likely to be set by the Court. The debt issues have not been resolved with the Club.

Pak n' Save, 331 Great South Road, Takanini

An application for a new supermarket has been received. The application was publicly notified and the submission period closed on the 3 February 2010. A Hearing was held on 14 and 15 May 2010. The application was approved subject to conditions on the 4 June 2010. The applicant has subsequently appealed some of the conditions of consent namely in relation to:

- Condition 20 which requires the consent holder to, inter alia, design, fund and construct an access road across land referred to as 'the esplanade reserve' between the site and Inlet Road (Inlet Road connection);
- Condition 22 which requires the consent holder to enter into an agreement with the applicant in respect of, inter alia, the Inlet Road connection, such agreement to include a traffic assessment for the construction of the Inlet Road connection;
- Condition 39 which limits the hours during which earthworks and other on-site works can occur; and
- Condition 86 which authorises the applicant to review the conditions of consent for a certain period and as a result of certain events.

The parties are continuing to have discussions regarding options to resolve the appeal.

Mana Park Seventh Day Adventist Church, 653 Great South Road, Drury

Resource Consent for the above church was declined by Council on 10 August 2009. The applicant has appealed the decision and the parties have resolved the appeal and the consent order documents will be referred to the Environment Court for signing.

Bunnings, 173 Great South Road, Takanini

A Resource Consent for a new Bunnings store at the above address was formally lodged on 18 June 2010. Further information in relation to traffic effects has been received. The application is currently being assessed for whether notification of the proposal is required.

55 Takanini School Road, Takanini

A Resource Consent for 2,280m<sup>2</sup> of retail space, 32 residential apartments, 26 live/work units, 3,249m<sup>2</sup> of light industrial, a childcare centre and 98 (medium density) residential units at the above address has recently been formally lodged. The

application was publicly notified on 21 July 2010 with the date for submissions closing on 18 August 2010. A date for the Hearing is currently being arranged.

### **Animal Control**

There were 136 property visits in July compared to 238 visits in June 2010. 60 infringement notices issued compared to 57 that were issued in June 2010.

During July there were 7 animal attacks, most of which are still being investigated. This is a disturbing increase as there were only 15 for the whole 2009/10 financial year.

The number of dogs that were impounded is down in July to 46 compared with 49 in June 2010. Of the 46 dogs impounded this month 87% of these were unregistered.

As normal during the registration period additional dog owner licence sessions were provided. This had the positive outcome of 110 dog owners receiving a licence this month.

### **Noise Control**

The number of afterhours complaints received from residents about excessive noise was 158 in July 2010 which is only a minimal increase from June with 152.

34% of this month's complaints resulted in an excessive noise direction notice being issued as officers continue to ensure a quicker shut down of noisy parties. 42 verbal warnings were issued this month compared to 39 in June 2010.

### **Parking Control**

There were 759 infringements notices issued this month compared to 994 in June 2010. Infringements for parking on the grass berm remain consistent with 57 infringements being issued in July 2010 compared to 54 in June 2010.

Active monitoring of parking in disabled carparks continued this month. 10 truck patrols were conducted resulting in 3 infringements being issued.

An increase of parking complaints from the public were also seen this period with 98 received compared to 67 for July 2009.

Summaries of the parking infringements are as follows:

	<b>June</b>
Registration	263
Warrant of Fitness	167
Footpath	12
Broken Yellow Lines	27
Restricted Parking	55
Disabled	23
Loading Zone	16
Bus Stop	16
No Stopping	03
Facing Wrong Direction	11
Parking on Grass Berms	57

---

### **RECOMMENDATION**

1. That the information be received.

**7. CONFIDENTIAL**