



NOTICE OF MEETING OF THE 37TH COUNCIL MEETING

I hereby give notice that the 37th Council meeting of the 7th Papakura District Council is to be held on:

DATE: Tuesday 23rd March 2010

TIME: 4.00 P.M.

VENUE: Council Chambers
35 Coles Crescent
PAPAKURA

T Stratton
CHIEF EXECUTIVE OFFICER

MEMBERSHIP:

Chairperson	His Worship the Mayor (Calum Penrose)
Deputy Chairperson	Clr Goldsmith
	Clr Auva'a
	Clr Catchpole
	Clr Conroy
	Clr Jones
	Clr O'Connor
	Clr Piggott
	Clr Pringle

(Quorum 5 members)

(The reports and recommendations contained in this Order Paper are not necessarily Council Policy and should not be taken as Council Policy, or opinion)

PAPAKURA DISTRICT COUNCIL

CONTENTS

NO.	ITEM	PAGE
1.	Apologies	3
2.	Personal	3
3.	Confirmation of Minutes	3
4.	Approval of Recommendations	3
5.	Minutes – Te Roopu Kaitiaki O Papakura	3
6.	Deputations and Petitions	3
7.	Presentations	3
8.	Mayor’s Report	3
9.	Items for Decision	4-19
	(a) Attendance at Local Government Knowhow - Decision Making Workshop	4
	(b) Skateboard Facilities Funding.....	5-6
	(c) Kirks’ Bush Boardwalk Extension	7
	(d) Papakura Fireworks Display 2010	8-10
	(e) Hawkins Theatre	11-13
	(f) 2010-2011 Annual Plan and Budget	14-15
	(g) Renewal of Animal, Noise and Parking Control Contract 2003 ..	16-17
	(h) Submission on the ATA Discussion Documents: Auckland Council Local Boards; Council Controlled Organisations of Auckland Council	18-19
10.	Items for Information	19
11.	Notices of Motion	19
12.	Confidential	20-21
	(a) LGAAA Update: Appeal of Papakura District Council to Change 6 to the Auckland Regional Policy Statement.....	20-21
	(b) Road Network Maintenance Contract Number PDC/06/01 – One Year Extension	20-21
	(c) Beach Road Remedial Report	20-21
	(d) Watercare Draft Statement of Corporate Intent from 1 July 2010.....	20-21
	(e) Review of Watercare’s 2010 Funding Plan	20-21

PAPAKURA DISTRICT COUNCIL

**AGENDA FOR THE 37TH MEETING OF THE PAPAKURA DISTRICT COUNCIL TO
BE HELD IN THE COUNCIL CHAMBERS, 35 COLES CRESCENT, PAPAKURA
ON TUESDAY 23RD MARCH 2010 COMMENCING AT 4.00 P. M.**

1. **APOLOGIES**

2. **PERSONAL**

3. **CONFIRMATION OF MINUTES**
 - (a) That the Minutes of the Extraordinary Council Meeting held on Tuesday 16th March 2010 be confirmed.

4. **APPROVAL OF RECOMMENDATIONS**

5. **MINUTES – TE ROOPU KAITIAKI O PAPAKURA**

6. **DEPUTATIONS**

7. **PRESENTATIONS**

8. **MAYOR'S REPORT**

9. ITEMS FOR DECISION

(a) **ATTENDANCE AT LOCAL GOVERNMENT
KNOWHOW - DECISION MAKING
WORKSHOP**

REPORTING OFFICER: Riya Seth
Democracy Services Officer

ATTACHMENT: "Local Government KnowHow - Decision
Making" Course Overview

(Attachment No. 1)

PURPOSE OF THE REPORT

The purpose of this report is to approve the attendance of an elected member at the Local Government KnowHow - Decision Making workshop.

NARRATIVE

Elected members have received an invitation to a One Day workshop "Local Government KnowHow – Decision Making" run by Local Government New Zealand. This is an interactive workshop and is scheduled for 7th May 2010 in Auckland. A copy of the workshop overview is attached. All elected members were asked if they wished to attend this workshop. Cllr Pringle indicated that he wished to take up this opportunity and a booking has therefore been made.

CONCLUSION

Council is asked to approve Cllr Pringle's attendance at the Local Government KnowHow – Decision Making Workshop.

RECOMMENDATIONS

1. That the information be received.
2. That Council approve the attendance of Cllr Pringle at the Local Government KnowHow – Decision Making Workshop.

9. ITEMS FOR DECISION

(b) SKATEBOARD FACILITIES FUNDING

REPORTING OFFICER: Nicola Terry
Manager Community Assets

ATTACHMENT: Photo of Multipurpose Youth Facility
(Attachment No. 2)

PURPOSE OF THE REPORT

The purpose of the report is to seek Council direction regarding skateboard facilities funding in the Long Term Council Community Plan 2009-19.

BACKGROUND

Funding was allocated for skateboard facilities in the first Long Term Council Community Plan 2004-14. \$150,000 was set aside every four years, but no specific plans were made for where each facility should be located. The last skateboard facility was built on Council direction on Keri Downs (corner of Settlement Road and Dominion Road).

NARRATIVE

There is no data on the use of the Keri Downs skateboard facility however, the general impression is that it is underutilised compared with the facility at Ray Small Park. This skateboard facility is a more advanced facility which appeals to skaters with a certain level of skill. It is also less multi-purpose compared to the Ray Small Park facility which attracts a wide range of wheeled activities.

The design and location of skateboard facilities is extremely important for successful outcomes. There is often community opposition to skateboard facilities due to the increase in use and noise and also perceived increase in graffiti and vandalism (which may be because of the presence of teenage users).

Two sites have been discussed recently regarding new skateboard facilities – Marybeth Reserve and Taka Reserve. Consultation on the inclusion of these facilities has been mixed, although there is more support at Taka Reserve where there is already an existing half pipe/ramp. There are facilities currently in use in other parts of Auckland that are more multipurpose and allow for a range of activities targeted at young people including both ball sports and wheeled activities (attached). Reserve Management Plans are in progress for both these reserves and will guide development in the future.

Given that the support for a new skateboard facility is mixed, it is recommended that the funding be used more generally for improving facilities and equipment in reserves for children and young people. In reserves where a skateboard facility would be advantageous and is supported by community consultation, the funding can be used to support this. Where there is opposition to skateboard facilities or the young people of the area have different interests, the funding is flexible enough to support the community's needs.

CONCLUSION

Skateboard facilities need to be located and designed appropriately. Flexibility in funding will allow for both skateboard facilities and/or other facilities to be installed where they better meet the needs of young people and their communities.

RECOMMENDATIONS

1. That the information be received.
2. That the budget allocated for skateboard facilities in 2010-2011 be reclassified as 'open space facilities for young people'.

9. ITEMS FOR DECISION

(c) KIRKS' BUSH BOARDWALK EXTENSION

REPORTING OFFICER: Nicola Terry
Manager Community Assets

PURPOSE OF THE REPORT

The purpose of the report is to seek Council direction regarding extending the Kirks' Bush boardwalk.

BACKGROUND

The Friends of Kirks' Bush have been advocating for boardwalks in Kirks' Bush for a number of years. Council has undertaken to complete sections of the boardwalk as budget permits and has recently been approached to complete a further 100m this financial year.

The rent from the old caretaker's house at 377 Great South Road is kept in a reserve fund to support the development of Kirks' Bush. \$15,000 per annum has been provided in the LTCCP and this has been released progressively over the past few years to support the boardwalk project.

NARRATIVE

Quotes have been received for the next portion of boardwalk and additional funding is required to complete 100m. The current 2009/10 year budget is sufficient to complete 50m but it would be more efficient for the contractor to complete 100m at one time rather than come back next financial year to complete the remaining 50m.

There is \$49,101 currently available in the Kirks' Bush Reserve fund. Accordingly, it is recommended that the 2010/11 year budget allocation of \$15,000 is brought forward to support the 100m of boardwalk.

CONCLUSION

It would be more efficient to complete 100m of boardwalk in Kirks' Bush in one contract rather than complete 50m this financial year and 50m next financial year. There is funding available in the Kirks' Bush Reserve Fund to support this and it is therefore recommended that Council approve that the additional \$15,000 is brought forward from the 2010/11 year to enable the boardwalk to be completed.

RECOMMENDATIONS

1. That the information be received.
2. That an additional \$15,000 be brought forward from the 2010/11 financial year in order to complete 100m of boardwalk through Kirk's Bush this financial year.

9. ITEMS FOR DECISION

(d) PAPAKURA FIREWORKS DISPLAY 2010

REPORTING OFFICER: Teresa Turner
Director Business and Promotion

ATTACHMENT: Aerial Map of Mansell Field

(Attachment No. 3)

PURPOSE OF THE REPORT

The purpose of this report is to seek Council direction regarding the 2010 Papakura Fireworks Display.

BACKGROUND

For the last few years, the Papakura Fireworks display has been organised by Council with the assistance of a community based steering committee.

The annual Fireworks display is one of Papakura's largest community events. Approximately 7000 people attended last year's event. The main objective of the evening is to build community spirit and encourage local community participation. The event is also host to the annual "Jump Jam" competition and provides an opportunity for local groups to showcase their talent to the community. The evening concludes with an impressive fireworks display.

There is a charge of \$10 per family (2 adults and up to 6 children) or \$5 per person and the event is held at Massey Park Stadium as it is the only charge ground in the district. The gate takings have been approximately \$10,000 for the last two years and help off-set the full cost of the event.

NARRATIVE

This year, Massey Park will be undergoing re-development and is not be able to be used as the venue for the Fireworks event in November. In light of this, there are a number of options for Council to consider:

- Relocate the event to another location in the district. As stated earlier in this report there are no other charge ground facilities in the district. If the event is to be re-located - and secured to enable charging for the event - there are additional costs.
- Relocate the event and scale back the event to reduce additional costs required.
- Consider cancelling the event as a suitable charge ground venue is not available

Relocating the event

A number of sites within the district have been viewed to find an appropriate venue for the Fireworks display. Mansell Field on Marne Rd is considered the most suitable park for this event when considering regulatory, pyrotechnic, fencing, staging and sound issues.

Mansell Field is closely located to Massey Park Stadium which would keep the event in a similar location. Those attending the event are used to the event being held in central Papakura and moving it outside of walking distance of central Papakura may impact on numbers and size of the audience. Residents are also accustomed to this event being held in their neighbourhood.

To enable the event to be staged at Mansell Field a number of temporary facilities would have to be made available. These include lighting towers, toilet blocks, power and a fully fenced ground. The staging costs are also increased as there is no tiered seating and a slightly larger stage is required to enable viewing for the crowd. Audio costs are also increased as the public seated/picnic area is larger and the site is not enclosed from the road. The additional costs are listed below.

Port-a-loos	\$400
Sound	\$5000
Stage	\$400
Lighting Towers	\$500
Generator	\$320 plus diesel
Fencing	\$3500
Total	\$10120

Fencing is required as the gate-takings account for one third of the funds required to hold the event. Mansell Field is bordered by two schools therefore nearly half of the park is already adequately fenced making it a more suitable venue than others.

Scaled back event

Another option would be to hold the event but scale back some of the activities reducing the need for a full sound system. This would involve incorporating the Bluelight Disco into the event and using their DJ/sound unit. This unit includes a total sound and microphone setup and would be available free of charge. The Bluelight Disco unit and DJ Lenny are quite well known throughout the Papakura schools. The Jump Jam could also still be incorporated into this event so staging would be necessary. The additional costs in holding a scaled back event are listed below:

Port-a-loos	\$400
Stage	\$400
Lighting Towers	\$500
Generator	\$320 plus diesel
Fencing	\$3500
Total	\$5120

Cancellation

The final option for Council to consider is to cancel the Fireworks display as Massey Park is not available this year.

The option of holding the event free of charge (therefore eliminating the requirement for fencing) has not been canvassed as the gate takings are required to offset the costs of the event.

CONCLUSION

The Fireworks display is a well attended community event and the only one of its kind in the District. Mansell Field appears to be most appropriate alternative venue for the event. However, re-locating the event requires additional funds which are not budgeted for. Council needs to consider whether it wishes to approve additional one-off funding for the event in 2010, or whether it wishes to cancel the event.

RECOMMENDATIONS

1. That the information be received.
2. To re-locate the Papakura Fireworks 2010 display to Mansell Field and allocate an additional \$10,120 for the event.

OR

To re-locate a scaled back Papakura Fireworks display to Mansell Field and allocate an additional \$5120 for the event

OR

To cancel the 2010 Fireworks display as Massey Park is not available as a venue

9. ITEMS FOR DECISION

(e)

HAWKINS THEATRE

REPORTING OFFICER:

Director Business and Promotion
Teresa Turner

PURPOSE OF THE REPORT

The purpose of this report is to seek Council direction regarding the naming of the Hawkins Theatre and the funding implications of a name change

BACKGROUND

At the Council meeting of 23 February 2010, following a notice of motion, Council resolved to change the name of the Hawkins Theatre to the Papakura Performing Arts Theatre, and to allocate \$20,000 through the LTCCP process to support the re-branding.

At the LTCCP committee meeting on 2 March 2010, the committee resolved not to allocate any budget to support the re-branding of the Hawkins Theatre. This leaves Council in a position of having made a decision but not having allocated any resourcing for the implementation of that decision.

In addition, there has been discussion amongst Councillors regarding consultation with the community prior to a name change for the Hawkins Theatre.

This report outlines the implications and costs associated with a name change for the Hawkins Theatre and sets out a timeline for the implementation of a name change including community consultation

NARRATIVE

The Hawkins Theatre has been established for nineteen years. The name is well known and has significant “brand” value both locally and regionally. To change the name of the Theatre has a number of implications.

Rebranding

First and foremost, a name change signals a re-branding exercise. It is not just a question of changing the signs outside the Theatre. The new name will need to have design work around it as it is establishing a new identity for the Theatre. There will need to be a communications plan and activities for the roll-out of the new name and a formal launch event for the new identity. There would be additional costs associated with this activity

Marketing collateral

Both the theatre and those using the theatre as a venue have their own marketing collateral. The Hawkins Theatre produces the Hawkins Report, has its own website and has exterior signage as a minimum. All of these would need to be redesigned to accommodate the new brand identity.

Promoters, producers and hirers of the venue have their collateral (ie posters, flyers etc) in design well in advance of the production. This would impact on the timeline for implementation of the new identity

Industry implications

As stated earlier, the Hawkins Theatre has significant brand value and therefore recognition within the creative industries sector. A new identity for the Hawkins Theatre will require investment (ie additional work for staff) in building up recognition of the new brand. It is also important that any new name for the Theatre encapsulates the full range of cultural activities the Theatre wishes to showcase.

Cost implications

As referred to earlier in this report, there are costs associated with a change in identity for the Hawkins Theatre and estimates for those costs are set out below:

Design work	\$5000
New signage	\$8000
New stationery	\$500
Website re-design	\$1000
Communications (inc launch)	\$5000
Total	\$19500

Signage is a new cost as the current re-development project does not provide for new signage.

Timeline

Taking into account the design implications, existing collateral, development of a communications plan, there would need to be a significant lead-in time for full implementation of the new identity. It is likely to require six months between the decision and the full roll-out of the new name.

Community consultation

There has been discussion amongst elected members regarding consulting with the community prior to making a decision to change the name. If this was to happen, then it would require a period of one month for the consultation period and a further month to allow for Council deliberations following consultation. It is estimated that there would be approximately a \$3000 cost associated with the consultation – most of this cost would be for advertising. If, following consultation, Council determined to make the name change, then the timeline set out above would still apply as would the costs. It is suggested that any consultation process be via advertising for submissions in the local paper as well as the same call for submissions on the Council website. There would then be a submission process and, if Council wished, a hearings process prior to final decision.

CONCLUSION

Council needs to resolve issues surrounding a proposed change of name for the Hawkins Theatre. Currently Council has a resolution to change the name but has not allocated any resourcing to implement the decision. The decision cannot be implemented without funds. In addition, some elected members have raised the issue of consulting with the community prior to making a decision on a name change. Based on the discussion to date three options to progress this issue are set out below:

Option 1

Propose a name change for the Hawkins Theatre and undertake public consultation before a final decision. In order to progress this option Council will need to:

- a) Allocate funding in the LTCCP for both consultation and potential re-branding of the Theatre (\$23,000).
- b) Determine a small number of names on which to consult with the public (this could be done through a workshop followed by a Council resolution in the next few weeks).
- c) Depending on the decision after consultation, start the implementation timeline as set out above.

Option 2

Determine to change the name without public consultation. There is currently a Council decision to change the name but no resources to implement this. There is also some discussion about considering a different name to the current proposed name. In order to progress this option Council will need to:

- a) Allocate funding in the LTCCP for the re-branding of the Theatre (\$20,000).
- b) Determine the final name (through a workshop and then Council resolution)
- c) Start the implementation timeline as set out above.

Option 3

Decide to leave the name of the Hawkins Theatre unchanged. In order to progress this option Council will need to revoke the decision made at the Council meeting on 23 February 2010 to change the name.

RECOMMENDATIONS

1. That the information be received.
2. That Council give direction on which of the above options it wishes to progress.

9. ITEMS FOR DECISION

(f) **2010-2011 ANNUAL PLAN AND BUDGET**

REPORTING OFFICER: **Victoria Villaraza**
Director Finance and Corporate Services

ATTACHMENT: **2010-2011 Budget**
(Attachment No. 4)

PURPOSE OF THE REPORT

The purpose of this report is to seek Council's approval of the updated budget estimates for input into the 2010-2011 Annual Plan.

BACKGROUND

The 2010-2011 annual planning process has been changed by legislation to recognise the imminent changes to Auckland governance. In a nutshell these changes are:

- Councils must prepare an Annual Plan for the 2010-2011 financial year to cover the period from 1 July 2009 to 31 October 2010.
- So long as this Annual Plan is consistent with year two of the 2009-2019 LTCCP, no consultation is required in relation to this Annual Plan.
- Councils must set rates and other revenue mechanisms for the full twelve month period; these must be sufficient to fund the 4 month period plus the balance of the financial year as if the requirements identified for the 4-month period continued for the balance of the financial year.
- Councils must consult with the ATA throughout the preparation of the Annual Plan.

The 2010–2011 Annual Plan is year two of the Long Term Council Community Plan LTCCP. Based on Council's direction, officers prepared budgets that are largely consistent with year two of the LTCCP. Subject to the budget proposed being adopted by Council and given a previous decision of the LTCCP Committee, it is not intended to consult on the annual plan.

The LTCCP Committee has considered the 2010-2011 budgets and the updated financial projections are attached to this report for the Council's review.

NARRATIVE

The 2010-11 budgets reflecting the LTCCP Committee's recommendations have been updated and are now presented to Council for approval as the final budget, subject to Auckland Transition Authority (ATA) approval.

Following, Council's adoption, the final Annual Plan will be produced in two sections: the first section covers the period from 1 July to 31 October, which will represent the final four-month-budget under the Papakura District Council umbrella. The second section covers the period from 1 November to 30 June, which will represent the budget under the Auckland Council umbrella. Performance measures information will also be presented in two sections, consistent with the budget presentation.

A question was raised regarding the \$4,000 budget provision for the Watch House included in the 2010/11 Annual Plan. It has been confirmed that this budget is for ongoing minor maintenance required for the building. This is still needed for 2010/11.

The budget now presented to the Council for approval is effectively the final opportunity to alter the detailed content of the budget. Following audit review, the Council will be asked to adopt a final plan in April, which will include the segmented budget information into the two periods.

Following adoption, the plan will be submitted to ATA for approval.

CONCLUSION

Budgets reflecting the decisions of the LTCCP Committee on the 2010-2011 year have been prepared for the Council's approval.

The budgets are recommended for Council's approval for inclusion in the final Annual Plan for 2010-11.

RECOMMENDATIONS

1. That the information be received.
2. That the Council review the budget recommendations attached to this report and approve it as the basis for preparing the final 2010-2011 Annual Plan.

9. ITEMS FOR DECISION

(g) RENEWAL OF ANIMAL, NOISE AND PARKING CONTROL CONTRACT 2003

REPORTING OFFICER: **Graeme McCarrison**
Director Regulatory Services

ATTACHMENT: **Animal Control Services Letter dated 18 Nov 2009**

(Attachment No. 5)

PURPOSE OF THE REPORT

The purpose of this report is to consider and approve the renewal of the Animal, Noise and Parking Contract 2003.

BACKGROUND

In January 2009 Council approved the renewal of the Animal, Noise and Parking Control Contract 2003 (the Contract) to 30 April 2010.

NARRATIVE

The Contract held by Animal Control Services Ltd (ACSL) would normally have been put out to tender. However, after discussion with the Auckland Transition Agency (ATA) regarding what they are advising to other Councils (Manukau and Auckland City) who have animal, noise and parking control service contracts expiring, we received the following verbal advice:

- (a) Parking control services will be provided via the Auckland Transport CCO from 1 November 2010, therefore the ATA will not approve a contract extension beyond 31 October 2010.
- (b) Noise control services (after hours) will continue to be contracted out by the Auckland Council until at least 30 June 2011. It is expected the noise control contracts will be reviewed at some point in time by the Auckland Council but it is unknown when, therefore the contract renewal should expire on 30 June 2011 with two 12 month rights of renewal at the sole discretion of the Auckland Council.
- (c) Animal Control Services will continue to be contracted out in Papakura, Franklin, Manukau and Auckland until at least 30 June 2011. A review of how this service will be delivered will be undertaken by the Auckland Council. At this stage it is appropriate to renew the contract until 30 June 2011 with two 12 month rights of renewal at the sole discretion of the Auckland Council.

It is recommended that we follow the advice of the ATA regarding the contract periods. The ATA has to approve any decision we make on this contract. ACSL have been advised of the ATA direction on the three parts of the contract. ACSL have verbally indicated that they are prepared to provide the services for the ATA defined periods.

In regard to the contract price, ACSL have offered to fix the contract price at the current cost of service. Note this will mean that the contract price has not changed since March 2007. It is considered this contract price is very reasonable. The contractor is not proposing any reduction in service standards. Since the contract was entered in to in 2003 ASCL has annually met the key performance indicators.

CONCLUSION

The contract for animal, noise and parking control expires on 30 April 2010. The Auckland Council is responsible for this service from 1 November 2010. The ATA has provided direction in regard to contracts for these services. It is recommended that we follow the ATA advice and renew the contract as follows:

- Parking to 31 October 2010
- Noise and animal control expiring 30 June 2011, with two 12 month rights of renewal at the sole discretion of the Auckland Council.

The contractor has offered to provide the services at the current contract rate, which was set in March 2007. It is recommended that this contract price is accepted. The contract approval is subject to ATA approval.

RECOMMENDATIONS

1. That the information be received.
2. That the Animal and Noise Control section of Contract 2 for Animal Control Services Ltd be extended to expire on 30 June 2011 with two 12 month rights of renewal at the sole discretion of the Auckland Council.
3. That the Parking control section of Contract 2 be extended to expire on 31 October 2010.
4. That the Chief Executive Officer be delegated authority to execute the contract variations once the ATA has approved the Contract.

9. ITEMS FOR DECISION

(h) **SUBMISSION ON THE ATA DISCUSSION DOCUMENTS: AUCKLAND COUNCIL LOCAL BOARDS; COUNCIL CONTROLLED ORGANISATIONS OF AUCKLAND COUNCIL**

REPORTING OFFICERS: Theresa Stratton
Chief Executive Officer
Erin Clarke
Policy Adviser

ATTACHMENT: Draft submission on the Discussion Documents on Auckland Council Local Boards and Council Controlled Organisations of Auckland Council

(Attachment No. 6) - This will be delivered to elected members prior to the meeting under separate cover

PURPOSE OF THE REPORT

The purpose of this report is to seek approval of a draft submission to the Local Boards and Council Controlled Organisations Discussion Documents released by the Auckland Transition Agency.

BACKGROUND

The Auckland Transition Agency recently released two discussion documents relating to the Auckland Council Local Boards and the proposed Council Controlled Organisations. Submissions on the discussion documents have been sought.

NARRATIVE

Council held a workshop on 16 March 2010 to discuss the documents, and provide input into the drafting of a submission. Elected member comments from the workshop have been included in the draft submission.

It should be noted that some of issues highlighted would need to be addressed through legislation as they are outside of the Auckland Transition Agency mandate. It is therefore proposed that these be included in a letter to the Select Committee on Auckland Governance for their consideration.

Due to the tight timeframe between the workshop, council meeting, and submission closing date, the draft submission will be delivered to elected members prior to the Council meeting.

CONCLUSION

The Auckland Transition Agency recently released discussion documents with regard to local boards and Council Controlled Organisations. A submission on these has been drafted, capturing the issues raised at Council's recent workshop, and approval of this submission is sought.

RECOMMENDATIONS

1. That the information be received.
2. That Council approve the attached draft submission
3. That Council approve the drafting of a letter to the Select Committee on Auckland Governance highlighting the concerns within the draft submission which would need to be addressed via legislation.

10. ITEMS FOR INFORMATION

11. NOTICES OF MOTION

12. CONFIDENTIAL

- (a) **LGAAA UPDATE: APPEAL OF PAPAURA DISTRICT COUNCIL TO CHANGE 6 TO THE AUCKLAND REGIONAL POLICY STATEMENT**
- (b) **ROAD NETWORK MAINTENANCE CONTRACT NUMBER PDC/06/01 – ONE YEAR EXTENSION**
- (c) **BEACH ROAD REMEDIAL REPORT**
- (d) **WATERCARE DRAFT STATEMENT OF CORPORATE INTENT FROM 1 JULY 2010**
- (e) **REVIEW OF WATERCARE’S 2010 FUNDING PLAN**

RECOMMENDATION

1. That Council move into public excluded session. The general subject matter to be considered, the reasons for passing this resolution in relation to each matter and the specific grounds under S 7(2) i and S 48 (2) a (i) and S 7 (2) g of Local Government Official Information and Meetings Act 1987 are:

Item	Reason	Grounds
12(a) LGAAA Update: Appeal of Papakura District Council to Change 6 to the Auckland Regional Policy Statement	To enable Council to maintain legal professional privilege. To enable the local authority to deliberate in private on its decision or recommendation in any proceedings before a local authority where a right of appeal lies to any Court or Tribunal against the final decision of the local authority in those proceedings.	S 7 (2) g S 48(2)a (i)
12(b) Road Network Maintenance Contract Number PDC/06/01 – One Year Extension	To enable Council to carry on, without prejudice or disadvantage negotiations (including commercial or industrial negotiations).	S 7 (2) i
12(c) Beach Road Remedial Report	To enable Council to carry on, without prejudice or disadvantage negotiations (including commercial or industrial negotiations).	S 7 (2) i
12(d) Watercare Draft Statement of Corporate Intent from 1 July 2010	To enable Council to carry on, without prejudice or disadvantage negotiations (including commercial or industrial negotiations).	S 7 (2) i
		S 7 (2) i

*Agenda
37th Council Meeting
23 March 2010*

12(e) Review of Watercare's 2010 Funding Plan	To enable Council to carry on, without prejudice or disadvantage negotiations (including commercial or industrial negotiations).	
---	--	--